arXiv:1604.06888v1 [math.AP] 23 Apr 2016

Spectral homogenization for a Robin-Neumann problem.

Andrea Cancedda
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche "G. L. Lagrange",
Politecnico di Torino
corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy
andrea.cancedda@polito.it

Abstract

We consider a Neumann-Robin spectral problem in a perforated domain Ω_ε. By homogenization techniques we find the suitable homogenized problem and we discuss the asymptotics of eigenpairs, as the size of the perforation tends to zero. Our results involve an approach based on Višík lemma and the Mosco convergence of eigenspaces. We prove that eigenpairs of our problem converge to eigenpairs of the homogenized problem with rate $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Keywords

Homogenization, Perforated domains, Γ-convergence, Spectral problems.

1 Introduction

In this paper we focus our attention on the homogenization of an elliptic spectral problem in a perforated domain. We consider a second order divergence form elliptic operator, defined on a periodically perforated domain $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where the small positive parameter ε represents the microstructure period. The structure of Ω_ε will be described throughly in section 2; in order to fix the ideas, we can consider the simplest case, defined as

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I_\varepsilon} B^i_\varepsilon,$$

where Ω is an open bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Lipschitz boundary and B^i_ε, for $i \in I_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ are the holes, obtained from a given sufficiently smooth and closed set $B \subset Q = (0,1)^d$, by means of translations and homothety, as follows

$$B^i_\varepsilon = \varepsilon(B+i), \quad I_\varepsilon = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ \varepsilon(B+i) \subset \Omega \right\}.$$

Hence, by construction, the boundary of perforated domain will be

$$\partial\Omega_\varepsilon = \partial\Omega \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in I_\varepsilon} \partial B_\varepsilon^i \right) = \partial\Omega \cup \Sigma_\varepsilon.$$

In such a domain we consider elliptic PDEs and related spectral problems: in our case

$$-\mathrm{div}(a_\varepsilon(x)\nabla u(x)) = \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon,$$

where $a_\varepsilon(x) = a(x/\varepsilon)$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}^{d\times d}$ is a Q-periodic and symmetric matrix satisfying a standard ellipticity condition, so that the problem is actually equivalent to

$$-\triangle u_\varepsilon(x) = \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon. \tag{1}$$

This type of problems has been treated since the 1970s: the reader can find many examples in books as [**A**], [**CD**], [**C-SJP**], [**SP**]. In particular for our analysis, the crucial work on spectral problems by Vanninathan [**V**] collects several results about the asymptotics of eigenpairs with Dirichlet, Neumann and Steklov boundary conditions. There, the author points out that the behavior of the eigenpairs $(\lambda_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ of problems in a perforated domain Ω_ε, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, strongly depends on the boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$.

Starting from this paper, many authors have worked on similar problems, changing boundary conditions or hypotheses on the geometry of the perforated domain, adding weight functions or analyzing localization effects. The boundary-value problem or spectral problem with Fourier boundary condition was treated by several authors ([**V82**], [**CD88**], [**CSJP92**], [**CD97**], [**BCP98**], [**CP99**]).

In our work we consider Fourier type boundary conditions with variable coefficients:

$$\nabla u_\varepsilon(x) \cdot n_\varepsilon = -q(x)u_\varepsilon(x),\ x \in \Sigma_\varepsilon, \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = 0,\ x \in \partial\Omega. \tag{2}$$

whose behavior depends on the assumptions on the weight function $q(x)$. The problem was suggested by a work by Chiadò Piat, Pankratova, Piatniski [**CP-P-P**], where the authors consider problem (1), (2) with $q \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ strictly positive and realizing its global minimum at a unique point $x_0 \in \Omega$. Moreover, they assume the Hessian matrix in x_0 to be positive definite. Here, on the contrary, we suppose that

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in K, \\ 1 & x \in \Omega \setminus K, \end{cases}$$

where $K \Subset \Omega$ is a compact set with non empty interior part A and Lipschitz boundary; so that boundary conditions over the perforation Σ_ε are of Neumann type, when weight function $q = 0$, or Robin type, when $q = 1$.

A physical interpretation of the weight q in our work is as the insulating power of the holes located inside K. Namely, the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition at the boundary of the holes Σ_ε means that they represent completely insulating inclusions; the presence of the weight q, which is zero in K and positive outside it,

allows these inclusions to conduct only in region $\Omega \setminus K$ and to be insulating in K. Homogenization can describe the behavior of such a material when the number of these holes tends to infinity and their size tends to zero.

We consider the functional $F_\varepsilon : L^2(\Omega) \to [0, +\infty]$ defined as

$$F_\varepsilon(u) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} |u|^2 & u \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon; \partial\Omega), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$H_\varepsilon = H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon; \partial\Omega) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) : u = 0 \,\text{in}\, \partial\Omega \right\} \tag{3}$$

is a Hilbert space, equipped with the scalar product

$$(u, v)_{H_\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u \nabla v dx.$$

It is convenient to represent the first eigenvalue through its variational characterization

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^1 = \min \left\{ F_\varepsilon(u) : u \in H_\varepsilon, \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

More generally, we can describe any eigenvalue λ_ε^j in a variational way. by introducing a basis of eigenfunctions u_ε^i of our problem (1), (2), and by taking the minimum over the spaces

$$H_\varepsilon^j = \left\{ u \in H_\varepsilon : (u, u_\varepsilon^i)_{H_\varepsilon} = 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, j-1 \right\}.$$

in section 3 we first prove equiboundedness of the first eigenvalue λ_ε^1. Then we compute the Γ-limit of F_ε:

$$\Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_\varepsilon(u) = F(u) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_\Omega f^{\hom}(\nabla u) dx, & u \in H_0^1(A) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $f^{\hom} : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, +\infty]$ is defined by

$$f^{\hom}(\xi) = \inf \left\{ \int_Y |\xi + \nabla u|^2 dx : \quad u \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\}.$$

and gives the homogenized spectral problem, with Dirichlet conditions,

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(a^{\hom} \nabla u) = |Y| \lambda u & u \in A \\ u = 0 & u \in \partial A, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $a^{\hom} \xi\xi = f^{\hom}(\xi)$, A is the interior part of K and $Y = Q \setminus B$ is the perforated periodicity cell.

This Γ-convergence result, together with the equicoerciveness of F_ε and the variational formulation of eigenvalues, implies the convergence

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^j \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} \lambda^j,$$

with λ^j eigenvalues of the homogenized problem (4). In section 4 we also study the asymptotics of the eigenfunctions u_ε^j with respect to the homogenized ones u^j, proving the convergence of eigenspaces, in the sense of Mosco; moreover, we investigate the rate of convergence of eigenfunctions in the L^2-norm, and we show that is of the order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. This last result is obtained following a classical procedure similar to the one in [**CP-N-P**], that exploits Višík lemma [**O-Y-S**].

2 Problem statement

We consider the sets $Q = [0,1)^d$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, which is Q-periodic, open and connected, with Lipschitz boundary $\Sigma = \partial E$. We define the complement of E, $B = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus E$, that represents the holes, assuming that $Q \cap E$ is connected and $Q \cap B \Subset Q$, so that B consists of disjoint components. So we denote by $Y = Q \cap E$ the periodicity perforated cell and $\Sigma^0 = Q \cap \partial B = Q \cap \Sigma$, the boundary of the hole.



Figure 1: A perforated cell.

For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote $Y_\varepsilon^i = \varepsilon(i + Y)$, $\Sigma_\varepsilon^i = \varepsilon\Sigma \cap Y_\varepsilon^i$, and $B_\varepsilon^i = \varepsilon B \cap Y_\varepsilon^i$, that are respectively the periodicity cells, the boundary of the holes, and the holes themselves. Given a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$, our perforated domain is

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I_\varepsilon} B_\varepsilon^i, \quad I_\varepsilon = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ Y_\varepsilon^i \subset \Omega \right\}. \tag{5}$$

By the hypothesis on the connectedness of $Q \cap E$, we can assume that Ω_ε is still connected; by definition of I_ε we get that the holes don't intersect $\partial\Omega$; we have

$$\partial\Omega_\varepsilon = \partial\Omega \cup \Sigma_\varepsilon, \quad \Sigma_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{i \in I_\varepsilon} \Sigma_\varepsilon^i.$$

A more general case, avoiding these last assumptions, can be treated as in [**A-CP-DM-P**]. In the perforated domain Ω_ε we consider the following spectral problem



Figure 2: The perforated domain Ω_ε.

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(a_\varepsilon(x)\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)) = \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(x), & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ a_\varepsilon(x)\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)\cdot n_\varepsilon = -q(x)u_\varepsilon(x), & x \in \Sigma_\varepsilon, \\ u_\varepsilon(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Here $a_\varepsilon(x) = a(x/\varepsilon)$, where $a(y)$ is a $d \times d$ matrix; n_ε is the outward unit normal at the boundary Σ_ε, and $\cdot$ denotes the usual scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

We will state the following hypothesis:

(H1) $a(y)$ is a real symmetric matrix satisfying the uniform ellipticity condition

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}(y)\xi_i\xi_j \geqslant \alpha|\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some $\alpha > 0$.

(H2) The coefficients $a_{ij}(y)$ are in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and Q-periodic.

(H3) The function $q(x)$ is defined as

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in K, \\ 1, & x \in \Omega \setminus K, \end{cases}$$

where $K \Subset \Omega$ is a compact subset of Ω, with non empty interior $A = \dot{K}$ and Lipschitz boundary.

We can consider the weak formulation of problem (6), that is to find $\lambda_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ (eigenvalues) and $u_\varepsilon \neq 0$ (eigenfunctions) in the Hilbert space H_ε defined in (3), such that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a_\varepsilon(x)\nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla v\, dx + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q(x)u_\varepsilon v\, d\sigma = \lambda_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon v\, dx, \quad v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{7}$$

Let us start with a simpler case, where we consider the matrix $a_{i,j}(y) = \delta_{ij}$, so that we deal with the spectrum of the the Laplacian operator. We may easily generalize the results to our problem (6), using the ellipticity condition and boundedness of $a(y)$. So we can consider eigenpairs $(\lambda_\varepsilon^j, u_\varepsilon^j)$, with $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of problem

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle u^\varepsilon(x) = \lambda^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon(x), & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \nabla u^\varepsilon(x) \cdot n_\varepsilon = -q(x) u^\varepsilon(x), & x \in \Sigma_\varepsilon, \\ u^\varepsilon(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

that is, in the weak formulation, find $\lambda_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon$, $u_\varepsilon \neq 0$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q(x) u_\varepsilon v \, d\sigma = \lambda_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon v \, dx, \quad v \in H_\varepsilon. \tag{9}$$

Let us define a linear operator K_ε, whose spectrum will be related to the eigenvalues of problem (8). So let consider the standard embedding operator

$$J_\varepsilon : H_\varepsilon \to L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon),$$

which is compact, due to the regularity of $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$.

Now we take the operator

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \tilde{K}_\varepsilon : L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon) & \to & H_\varepsilon \\ f & \mapsto & \tilde{K}_\varepsilon f, \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where $\tilde{K}_\varepsilon f$ is the unique solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle u^\varepsilon(x) = f, & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \nabla u^\varepsilon(x) \cdot n_\varepsilon = -q(x) u^\varepsilon(x), & x \in \Sigma_\varepsilon, \\ u^\varepsilon(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

that is, in weak formulation, a function $u_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla v + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} u_\varepsilon v = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} f v, \tag{12}$$

for any $v \in H_\varepsilon$.

Note that, by Lax-Milgram theorem, at $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, for any $f \in L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, there exists a unique $u_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon$ solving problem (11) or, equivalently, (12), so that $\tilde{K}_\varepsilon$ is well defined. We will consider the operator

$$K_\varepsilon : H_\varepsilon \to H_\varepsilon, \quad K_\varepsilon = \tilde{K}_\varepsilon \cdot J_\varepsilon. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 1. *The operator $K_\varepsilon : H_\varepsilon \to H_\varepsilon$ is positive, linear, compact and self-adjoint.*

Proof. The proof of the linearity and continuity of $\tilde{K}_\varepsilon$ follows from Lax-Milgram, the fact that $\tilde{K}_\varepsilon$ is self-adjoint and positive is classical, see for example [**H**]. Being J_ε the compact embedding operator, we simply get the thesis by composition. □

For spectral problem (9) we have the following classical result:

Theorem 2.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the spectrum of problem (9) is real and consists of a countable set of values*

$$0 < \lambda_\varepsilon^1 \leqslant \lambda_\varepsilon^2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_\varepsilon^j \leqslant \cdots + \infty.$$

Every eigenvalue has a finite multiplicity. The corresponding eigenfunctions normalized by

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^i u_\varepsilon^j \, dx = \delta_{ij},$$

form a orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$. Furthermore λ_ε^1 is simple.

Proof. By lemma 1 and the general spectral theory, we have that the spectrum of the operator K_ε is made by a sequence of positive eigenvalues converging to zero:

$$+\infty > \mu_\varepsilon^1 \geqslant \mu_\varepsilon^2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \mu_\varepsilon^j \geqslant \cdots > 0.$$

Now observe that, if μ_ε^j is an eigenvalue for K_ε, i.e. there exists $u_\varepsilon^j \in H_\varepsilon$ such that $K_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^j = \mu_\varepsilon^j u_\varepsilon^j$, then

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle u_\varepsilon^j(x) = \dfrac{1}{\mu_\varepsilon^j} u_\varepsilon^j(x), & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \nabla u_\varepsilon^j(x) \cdot n_\varepsilon = -q(x) u_\varepsilon^j(x), & x \in \Sigma_\varepsilon, \\ u_\varepsilon(x)^j = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

so that $\lambda_\varepsilon^j = \frac{1}{\mu_\varepsilon^j}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (8), hence we have that

$$0 < \lambda_1^\varepsilon \leqslant \lambda_2^\varepsilon \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_j^\varepsilon \leqslant \cdots + \infty.$$

Now we have to prove that λ_ε^1 is simple. First we show that if u_ε^1 is an eigenfunction associated to λ_ε^1, then u_ε^1 doesn't change sign. To do this assume the contrary. Then $u_\varepsilon^+ = \max\left\{u_\varepsilon^1, 0\right\}$ and $u_\varepsilon^- = \min\left\{u_\varepsilon^1, 0\right\}$ are non-trivial functions. Furthermore u_ε^+ and u_ε^- are in H_ε, so, by the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue,

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^1 \leqslant \frac{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^+|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q|u_\varepsilon^+|^2}{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon^+|^2}, \quad \lambda_\varepsilon^1 \leqslant \frac{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^-|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q|u_\varepsilon^-|^2}{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon^-|^2}. \tag{15}$$

Summing up these inequalities one has

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^1 \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon^+|^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon^-|^2 \right) \leqslant \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^+|^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon^-|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q \left(|u_\varepsilon^+|^2 + |u_\varepsilon^-|^2 \right),$$

and, by the fact that $u_\varepsilon^+ u_\varepsilon^- = 0$, we get

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^1 \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon^1|^2 \right) \leqslant \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^1|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q|u_\varepsilon^1|^2.$$

But u_ε^1 is an eigenfunction associated to λ_ε^1, hence this last inequality is actually an equality, and so are equations (15). Then we have that u_ε^+ is a non negative solution of the equation $-\triangle u_\varepsilon = \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon$, with Neumann conditions on Σ_ε and Dirichlet on $\partial\Omega$, that is zero at $\partial\Omega$ and it vanishes in the interior of Ω too: this contradicts the maximum principle, see [**H**], proposition IX.30.

Now assume that there exist two different and linearly independent eigenfunctions u_ε^1 and v_ε^1 associated to λ_ε^1; then, taking $c = \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon^1\right)^{-1}\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^1\right)$, we have that $u_\varepsilon^1 - cv_\varepsilon^1$ is an eigenfunction too, with

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^1 - cv_\varepsilon^1 = 0;$$

therefore $u_\varepsilon^1 - cv_\varepsilon^1$ changes sign, and this contradicts our previous argument.

□

Under hypothesis (H1), (H2), (H3), we want to study the asymptotic behavior of eigenpairs $(\lambda_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

3 Estimates for the first eigenvalue and determination of the limit problem

In this section we will show an upperbound for the first eigenvalue, at $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, and we will consider the limit of λ_ε^1 as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Lemma 2. *For the first eigenvalue of problem (9), as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have the following inequality*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \lambda_\varepsilon^1 \leqslant \alpha^1, \tag{16}$$

where ν^1 is the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator defined over the set $A = \dot{K}$, with homogenous Dirichlet condition on ∂A:

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle u(x) = \lambda u(x), & x \in A, \\ u(x) = 0 & x \in \partial A, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

or, in the variational form,

$$\alpha^1 = \inf_{u\in H_0^1(A)} \frac{\int_A |\nabla u|^2}{\int_A |u|^2}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. By Rayleigh equation we have

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^1 = \inf_{u\in H_\varepsilon} \frac{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q(x)|u(x)|^2 d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u(x)|^2}. \tag{19}$$

Let u be a normalized solution of the minimum problem (18) on the set A:

$$\frac{\int_A |\nabla u|^2}{\int_A |u|^2} = \alpha^1, \quad \int_A |u|^2 = 1, \quad u \in H_0^1(A).$$

We can extend u in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by setting zero in $\Omega \setminus A$. Now define the function

$$u_\varepsilon = \frac{u}{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}}, \quad u_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon.$$

Since, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, one has $\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup^* |Y|\chi_\Omega$, in L^∞- weak*, where $|Y|$ is the d-dimensional measure of the perforated cell Y, we have that

$$\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u|^2 = \int_\Omega |u|^2 \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to 0]{} |Y| \int_\Omega |u|^2 = |Y| \int_A |u|^2 = |Y|.$$

We can use u_ε as a test function in the functional (19), remembering that u_ε is equal 0 out of the set A, while $q = 0$ inside K, getting

$$\lambda^1_\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q(x)|u_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon(x)|^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\frac{1}{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}} \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} dx}{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to 0]{} \frac{|Y| \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{|Y|} = \alpha^1,$$

then we get the thesis. □

Now we want to find a limit for λ^1_ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The basic idea is to consider the first eigenvalue of problem (9) as the minimum of a functional, depending on ε, that is equicoercive, in order to exploit the Γ-convergence property of minimizing sequences to describe the limit of this eigenvalue. Let us define $F_\varepsilon : L^2(\Omega) \to [0, +\infty]$, with

$$F_\varepsilon(u) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} |u|^2 & u \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon; \partial\Omega), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^1_\varepsilon &= \inf_{u \in H_\varepsilon} \frac{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} q(x)|u(x)|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u(x)|^2} \\ &= \inf_{\substack{u \in H_\varepsilon, \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u|^2 = 1}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} |u(x)|^2 dx = \inf_{\substack{u \in L^2(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u|^2 = 1}} F_\varepsilon(u). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the set $X_\varepsilon = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \ \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} = 1\}$, and the function

$$I_{X_\varepsilon}(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & u \in X_\varepsilon \cap L^2(\Omega), \\ +\infty & u \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus X_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^1 = \inf_{\substack{u\in L^2(\Omega),\\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|u|^2=1}} F_\varepsilon(u) = \inf_{u\in L^2(\Omega)} \left[F_\varepsilon(u) + I_{X_\varepsilon}(u)\right].$$

Remark 1. Observe that a natural limit of the constraint X_ε, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, is the set $X = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_\Omega |u|^2 = 1/|Y|\}$. Infact, if $X_\varepsilon \ni u_\varepsilon \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, then

$$1 = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^2 \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to 0]{} |Y| \int_\Omega |u|^2$$

so that we get the condition $\int_\Omega |u|^2 = 1/|Y|$.

Now we can prove the following preliminary result:

Lemma 3. *If the functional F_ε, defined in (20), Γ-converges in the strong $L^2(\Omega)$ topology to a functional F, then we have*

$$\Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \ (F_\varepsilon + I_{X_\varepsilon}) = F + I_X,$$

in the same topology, with I_X defined as I_{X_ε}:

$$I_X(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & u \in X, \\ +\infty & u \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus X. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us define the functionals $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon + I_{X_\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{F} = F + I_X$.

i) We have to show that for every sequence u_ε converging to u, in the strong topology of $L^2(\Omega)$, one has

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leqslant \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon). \tag{21}$$

We can suppose, possibly passing to a subsequence, that exists the $\lim \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) < +\infty$, i.e. $u_\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon$, so that $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$. By hypothesis we know that F_ε Γ-converges to F, then $F(u) \leqslant \lim F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) < +\infty$.
We have

$$\int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon|^2 = 1 + \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^2 \chi_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_\varepsilon}.$$

Now, by the weak* convergence $\chi_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup^* 1 - |Y|$ and the strong $L^2(\Omega)$ convergence $u_\varepsilon \to u$, one has

$$\int_\Omega |u|^2 \xleftarrow[\varepsilon\to 0]{} \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^2 \xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to 0]{} 1 + (1 - |Y|) \int_\Omega |u|^2,$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} = \int_\Omega |u|^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \in X,$$

so that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = F(u) \leqslant \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon).$$

ii) We will prove that, for every $u \in H_\varepsilon$, with $\mathcal{F}(u) < +\infty$, i.e. $u \in X$, there exists a sequence u_ε, converging to u in $L^2(\Omega)$, such that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \geqslant \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon).$$

Being $u \in X$, that is $\int_\Omega |u|^2 = 1/|Y|$, one has $\Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_\varepsilon = F$, hence there exists a sequence v_ε, converging in $L^2(\Omega)$ to u, such that

$$F(u) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon).$$

Now define the sequence $u_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon / \|v_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}$.We have

$$u_\varepsilon = \frac{v_\varepsilon}{\int_\Omega |v_\varepsilon|^2 \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon}} \xrightarrow{L^2(\Omega)} \frac{u}{|Y| \int_\Omega |u|^2} = u.$$

Observe that, by construction, $\|u_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} = 1$, that is $u_\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon$, and, by Γ-convergence, one has

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\|v_\varepsilon\|^2} F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{|Y| \int_\Omega |u|^2} F(u) = \mathcal{F}(u).$$

□

Thanks to Lemma 3 we can consider the Γ-convergence of F_ε only, ignoring the oscillating constraint I_{X_ε}. In order to do this, we will follow the procedure used in [**A-CP-DM-P**]. In our case it will be simpler, because, by our hypothesis on the perforated domain, the holes don't intersect the boundary of Ω: $\partial\Omega \cap \Sigma_\varepsilon = \emptyset$.

Remark 2. It is well known, see for example [**A**], [**CD**], [**C-SJP**], [**SP**], that, under the present assumptions on Ω_ε, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a linear and continuous extension operator $T_\varepsilon : H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) \to H^1(\Omega)$ such that, for any $u \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$

i) $T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon$ in Ω_ε,

ii) $\|T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leqslant c \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}$,

where the constant $c > 0$ depends on Y, but is independent of ε.

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $\Omega^K_\varepsilon = (\Omega \setminus K) \setminus \{\cup_i B^i_\varepsilon : Y^i_\varepsilon \subseteq (\Omega \setminus K)\}$, where $K = \overline{A}$ and A is, by our hypothesis, a non empty open set $A \Subset \Omega$ with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\Sigma^K_\varepsilon = \{\cup \partial B^i_\varepsilon : Y^i_\varepsilon \subseteq (\Omega \setminus K)\}$, so that $\partial\Omega^K_\varepsilon = \partial\Omega \cup \partial K \cup \Sigma^K_\varepsilon$.*
Hence there exists two constants $c = c(K)$, independent of ε, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $w \in H^1_0(\Omega_\varepsilon; \partial\Omega)$

$$\left| \frac{C^*}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega^K_\varepsilon} |w|^2 dx - \int_{\Sigma^K_\varepsilon} |w|^2 d\sigma \right| \leqslant c(K) \int_{\Omega^K_\varepsilon} |\nabla w|^2, \tag{22}$$

where $C^* = \frac{|\partial Y|}{|Y|}$.

Proof. We proceed as in proof of lemma (4.1) in [**CP-P-P**]. Let $\chi \in H^1_{\text{per}}(Y)$ the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -div_y \chi(y) = C^* & y \in Y \\ \chi(y) \cdot n = -1 & y \in \Sigma_0 \\ \chi \in H^1_{\text{per}}(Y). \end{cases}$$

Then we consider its periodic extension over the whole Ω_ε and the rescaled function $\varepsilon\chi(x/\varepsilon)$: one has

$$-\varepsilon \, div_x \chi(x/\varepsilon) = C^*.$$

Multiplying by w^2, for any $w \in H_\varepsilon$, and integrating over Ω_ε^K, we get

$$-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} div\chi(x/\varepsilon) w^2(x) dx = C^* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} w^2(x);$$

integrating by part we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} \chi(x/\varepsilon) \nabla(w^2) dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon^K} \chi(x/\varepsilon) \cdot n w^2 d\sigma \\ &= C^* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} w^2 dx + \varepsilon \int_{\partial K} \chi(x/\varepsilon) \cdot n w^2 d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Now, being $\chi \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, and $\chi(x/\varepsilon) \cdot n = -1$ in Σ_ε, one has

$$\left| \frac{C^*}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} w^2 dx - \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon^K} w^2 d\sigma \right| \leqslant \|\chi\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |\nabla(w^2)| dx + \int_{\partial K} |w^2| d\sigma \right)$$

Finally note that, by Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré inequalities,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |\nabla(w^2)| dx \leqslant 2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |w \nabla w| dx \leqslant c'(K) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |\nabla w|^2,$$

and, by trace inequality,

$$\int_{\partial K} w^2 \leqslant c''(K) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |\nabla w|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{C^*}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} w^2 dx - \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon^K} w^2 d\sigma \right| \leqslant c(K) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |\nabla w|^2.$$

□

Before considering the Γ-limit of $F_\varepsilon(u)$, we can prove the following useful compactness property:

Lemma 5. *Let $u_\varepsilon \in L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ be a sequence such that $F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leqslant c \ \forall \varepsilon > 0$, then*

a) up to subsequence, $T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \to u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$;

b) $u = 0$ *in* $\Omega \setminus K$*;*

c) If $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon - u_0|^2 \to 0$*, as* $\varepsilon \to 0$*, with* $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$*, then* $u = u_0$ $L^2(\Omega)$*-almost everywhere and the convergence a) holds for the whole sequence* $T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon$*.*

Proof. By the equiboundedness of the functional $F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leqslant c$, it follows that $u_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon$ and $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \leqslant c$. By the extension property of Ω_ε in remark 2, we have that $T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon|^2 \leqslant c_1 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \leqslant c_2.$$

Hence, up to subsequence, there exists a function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that $a)$ holds.

To prove $b)$ remember that also $\int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon^K} |u_\varepsilon|^2 \leqslant c$, then, by (22) in Lemma 4, it follows that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |u_\varepsilon|^2 \leqslant \varepsilon c.$$

Now note that

$$\varepsilon c \geqslant \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} |u_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{\Omega \setminus K} |T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon|^2 \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon^K} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} |Y| \int_{\Omega \setminus K} |u|^2,$$

so that, taking the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus K} |u|^2 = 0.$$

Finally, from hypothesis $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon - u_0|^2 \to 0$ in $c)$, it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega |u - u_0|^2 = 0,$$

and $c)$ is proved. □

Remark 3. From lemma 5 and remark 1 we deduce that the sequence $\{F_\varepsilon + I_{X_\varepsilon}\}_\varepsilon$ is equicoercive with respect to the strong topology of $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, again by lemma 5, we can deduce that if F_ε Γ-converges to F in $L^2(\Omega)$, then $F(u) = +\infty$ whenever $u \neq 0$ in $\Omega \setminus K$.

We will use the following technical lemma, whose proof is classical:

Lemma 6. *Let* $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ *be an open bounded set, with Lipschitz boundary, and set* $A^\delta = \{x \in A : dist(x, \partial A) > \delta\}$*, then there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *such that, for every* $u \in H_0^1(A)$*, we have*

$$\int_{A \setminus A^\delta} |u|^2 dx \leqslant C\delta^2 \int_{A \setminus A^\delta} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

Now we can finally state the Γ-convergence result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let F_ε be defined by (20). Then, for any $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, one has*

$$\Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_\varepsilon(u) = F(u) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_\Omega f^{hom}(\nabla u)dx, & u \in H_0^1(A) \\ +\infty & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

in the strong topology of $L^2(\Omega)$, with $f^{hom} : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, +\infty]$ defined by

$$f^{hom}(\xi) = \inf\left\{ \int_Y |\xi + \nabla u|^2 dx : \quad u \in H^1_{per}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\}. \tag{23}$$

Proof.

Γ- lim inf inequality.

Let u_ε be a sequence in $L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ strongly converging to a function u. We have to prove that $F(u) \leqslant \liminf F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$; so, without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\liminf F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) < +\infty$. By lemma 5, we have $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, with $u = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus K$, and the weak convergence $T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Therefore we conclude that $F(u) < +\infty$.

Now, by proposition 3.6 in [**A-CP-DM-P**], we know that

$$F(u) \leqslant \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx.$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) &= \liminf_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} |u_\varepsilon|^2 \\ &\geqslant \liminf_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \geqslant F(u) = \int_\Omega f^{\hom}(\nabla u)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Γ- lim sup inequality.

We have to show that for any $u \in H_0^1(A)$ (if $u \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus H_0^1(A)$ the result is trivial) there exists a sequence $u_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon$, with $u_\varepsilon \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, such that

$$F(u) \geqslant \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon).$$

Let consider a function $u \in H_0^1(A)$ and the zero extension $\tilde{u}$ of u out of A, defined as

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & x \in A \\ 0 & x \in \Omega \setminus A, \end{cases}$$

so that $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Using the result in proposition 3.6 in [**A-CP-DM-P**], we can find a sequence $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(A \cap \Omega_\varepsilon) \cap L^2(A)$, with $u_\varepsilon \to \tilde{u}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap A}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2dx\leqslant\int_A f^{\hom}(\nabla u)dx=\int_\Omega f^{\hom}(\nabla\tilde{u})dx. \tag{24}$$

To construct our recovery sequence we fix constant $\delta>0$ and a set $A^\delta=\{x\in A: dist(x,\partial A)>\delta\}$. Then we consider a cut-off function $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(A)$, with $0\leqslant\varphi\leqslant 1$, $spt(\varphi)\subseteq A$, $\varphi=1$ in A^δ, $|\nabla\varphi|\leq c/\delta$, and we take a new sequence defined as

$$v_\varepsilon(x)=\varphi(x)u_\varepsilon(x)=\begin{cases}u_\varepsilon(x) & x\in A^\delta\\ \varphi(x)u_\varepsilon(x) & x\in A\setminus A^\delta\\ 0 & x\in\Omega\setminus A,\end{cases}$$

so that $v_\varepsilon\in H_\varepsilon$. We will use the following algebraic inequality:

$$|a+b|^2\leqslant(1+\eta)|a|^2+\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)|b|^2, \tag{25}$$

for all $a,b,\eta\in\mathbb{R}$, with $\eta>0$.

For our sequence v_ε, since $spt(v_\varepsilon)\subseteq A=\dot{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}F_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) &= \int_{(\Omega_\varepsilon\cap A)}|\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2+\int_{(\Sigma_\varepsilon\setminus K)\cap A}|v_\varepsilon|^2=\\ &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap A^\delta}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\nabla\varphi u_\varepsilon+\varphi\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the second term and use inequality (25) and the regularity of φ:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\nabla\varphi u_\varepsilon+\varphi\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\\ &\leqslant(1+\eta)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\varphi\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\nabla\varphi u_\varepsilon|^2\\ &\leqslant(1+\eta)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\frac{2}{\delta^2}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}\left(|u_\varepsilon-\tilde{u}|^2+|\tilde{u}|^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that, by (24), $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap A}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\leqslant c$, so that

$$(1+\eta)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\varphi\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\leqslant\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\eta c.$$

Now, by the convergence $u_\varepsilon\to\tilde{u}$, we have $1/\delta^2\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|u_\varepsilon-\tilde{u}|^2=o(1)$, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, with δ fixed, and, by lemma 6, being $u\in H_0^1(A)$, and $u=\tilde{u}$ in $\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|u|^2\leqslant c'\frac{1}{\delta^2}\delta^2\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)}|\nabla u|^2\leqslant c'\int_{A\setminus A^\delta}|\nabla u|^2,$$

that tends to 0 as $\delta\to 0$. Hence we have, for any $\delta>0$, $\eta>0$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) \leqslant \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left[\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap A^\delta} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap(A\setminus A^\delta)} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \eta c \right.$$
$$\left. + \left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right) c' \int_{A\setminus A^\delta} |\nabla u|^2 \right]$$
$$\leqslant \left[\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap A} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \right] + \eta c + \left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right) c' \int_{A\setminus A^\delta} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Taking the limit first as $\delta \to 0$ and then as $\eta \to 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) \leqslant \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon\cap A} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2,$$

so that, using (24), we finally get

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) \leqslant \int_A f^{\hom}(\nabla u)^2 = F(u)$$

□

As a consequence of the gamma convergence result, we can consider the differential equation associated to the Euler equation defined by (23): this means that our limit homogenized problem will be

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(a^{\hom}\nabla u(x)) = |Y|\lambda u & x \in A \\ u(x) = 0 & x \in \partial A, \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

where $a^{\hom}\xi\xi = f^{\hom}(\xi)$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let λ^1_ε and λ^1 be the first eigenvalues of problem (8) and (26) respectively. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \lambda^1_\varepsilon = \lambda^1 \tag{27}$$

Proof. First of all note that one has

$$\lambda^1 = \min_{u\in L^2(\Omega)} (F(u) + I_X(u)).$$

In theorem 3.1 we proved that $F_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma(L^2(\Omega))} F$. From lemma 3, it follows that

$$F_\varepsilon + I_{X_\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma(L^2(\Omega))} F + I_X.$$

By remark 3 we know that $(F_\varepsilon + I_{X_\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ is equicoercive. Therefore we immediately obtain (27). □

Remark 4. It will be useful in the sequel to underline the relationship between equation (23) and the associated problem on the periodicity perforated cell Y: the solution w_ξ of the minimum problem defined by $f^{\hom}(\xi)$ is in fact of type $w_\xi = \xi \cdot \chi$, where χ is the vector whose components solve the equation

$$\begin{cases} \triangle \chi^i(x) = 0 & x \in Y \\ \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \nu}(x) + n^i = 0 & x \in \Sigma^0 \\ \chi \in H^1_{\text{per}}(Y) \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where $n = n_1, \ldots, n_d$ is the external normal vector to Y over Σ^0.

4 Convergence for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of higher order.

In this section we will consider the limit of λ_ε^j and u_ε^j, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, as ε goes to 0, proving that for any λ, eigenvalue of the limit problem (26), there exists λ_ε^j, eigenvalue of the problem on the perforated domain (8), such that

$$|\lambda - \lambda_\varepsilon^j| \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} 0,$$

therefore, in theorem 4.2, we will state an estimate for this convergence.

In this section we will assume that the perforation B is a $\mathcal{C}^2$ set. Before studying the behavior of eigenvalues, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we present the following statement

Lemma 7. *For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist two positive constants c_j and c, independent from ε, and a constant and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that*

$$c \leqslant \lambda_\varepsilon^j \leqslant c_j \quad \forall \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \tag{29}$$

Proof.
Lower bound.

By theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove the inequality $c \leqslant \lambda_\varepsilon^j$, for λ_ε^1, being $\lambda_\varepsilon^1 \leqslant \lambda_\varepsilon^2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_\varepsilon^j$. By corollary 3.1, we have that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lambda_\varepsilon^1 = \lambda_1 > 0$, then by the theorem of permanence of sign, there exists ε_0 such that, for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, we have $\lambda_\varepsilon^1 > 0$, i.e. there exists $c > 0$ such that $\lambda_\varepsilon^1 \geqslant c$.

Upper bound.

Let us take $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(A)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, j$, a set of non-zero functions with disjoint supports. We extend by zero out of A, obtaining $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Since these functions are orthogonal in H_ε, there is a non-trivial linear combination $\psi_\varepsilon = \gamma_\varepsilon^1 \varphi_1 + \cdots + \gamma_\varepsilon^j \varphi_j$ such that

$$(\psi_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon^1)_{H_\varepsilon} = \cdots = (\psi_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon^{j-1})_{H_\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Then ψ_ε is a competitor for the minimum problem defined by λ_ε^j, so that

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^j \leqslant \frac{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon\setminus K} |\psi_\varepsilon|^2}{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\psi_\varepsilon|^2}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j (\gamma_\varepsilon^i)^2 \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla\varphi_i|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon\setminus K} |\varphi_i|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^j (\gamma_\varepsilon^i)^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \varphi_i^2}.$$

Now, being

$$c_j' = \sup_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla\varphi_i|^2, \quad c_j'' = \sup_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\varphi_i|^2,$$

one has

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^j \leqslant \frac{c_j'}{c_j''} = c_j.$$

□

Before stating the first result, concerning the convergence of eigenvalues and eigenspaces, we want to present, for the reader convenience, the definition of a particular type of convergence, that we will use in theorem 4.1.

Definition 1. *Let $\{S_j\}_j$ be a sequence of convex subsets of a reflexive Banach space X. We say that $\{S_j\}_j$ Mosco-converges to the set S, writing*

$$S_j \xrightarrow{M} S,$$

if the following relation is satisfied:

$$w - \limsup_{j\to+\infty} S_j = S = s - \liminf_{j\to+\infty} S_j. \tag{30}$$

By $w - \limsup_j S_j$ we denote the set of $x \in X$ for which there exists a sequence $x_j \rightharpoonup x$ weakly and such that $x_j \in S_j$ frequently, i.e. for infinitely many indices $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By $s - \liminf_j S_j$ we mean the set of $x \in X$ for which there exists a sequence $x_j \to x$ strongly and such that $x_j \in S_j$ definitively.

Remark 5. To show (30) it suffices to prove

$$w - \limsup_{j\to+\infty} S_j \subseteq S \subseteq s - \liminf_{j\to+\infty} S_j, \tag{31}$$

in fact the following relation is always satisfied:

$$s - \liminf_{j\to+\infty} S_j \subseteq w - \limsup_{j\to+\infty} S_j.$$

We will use the Urysohn property for convex sets, that we recall here without proof, see for example [**M**]:

Property 4.1. *Let $\{S_j\}_j$, S be a sequence of convex subsets of a reflexive Banach space X. Then $S_j \xrightarrow{M} S$ if and only if for every subsequence S_{j_k} there exists a further subsequence $S_{j_{k_l}}$ that Mosco converges to S.*

Before showing our first result on the convergence of eigenvalues and eigenspaces, we state a classical property of Gamma convergence:

Lemma 8. *Consider the functional F_ε described in (20), and its Γ-limit F; define the new functional*

$$G_\varepsilon(v) = F_\varepsilon(v) - 2\lambda_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon v, \tag{32}$$

where $\lambda_\varepsilon \to \lambda$ and $u_\varepsilon \to u$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then

$$G_\varepsilon(v) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} G(v) = F(v) - 2\lambda|Y| \int_\Omega uv. \tag{33}$$

Proof. First of all note that, by the weak-strong convergence, for any sequence $\{v_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, such that $v_\varepsilon \to v$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, one has

$$\lambda_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon u = \lambda_\varepsilon \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon u \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \to \lambda|Y| \int_\Omega vu,$$

so that

$$F_\varepsilon(u) + \lambda_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon u \xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to 0]{} F(u) + |Y|\lambda \int_\Omega vu. \tag{34}$$

Γ- $\liminf$ inequality.

Let v be in the domain of G, i.e. in $H_0^1(A)$, the domain of F, and consider its zero extension out of A in $H_0^1(\Omega)$; Let $v_\varepsilon \to v$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$, with $G_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) < C$. This means that v_ε is bounded in H_ε and, by lemma 5 we have

$$T_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \to v$$

weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$, up to subsequence, and, by Rellich theorem, strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since $F_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$, we have

$$\liminf_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) \geqslant F(v),$$

and, by equation (34),

$$\liminf_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) = \liminf_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) - 2\lambda_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \geqslant$$

$$\geq F(v) - 2|Y|\lambda \int_\Omega uv = G(v).$$

Γ- lim inf inequality.

The Gamma limsup inequality follows directly from the Γ-convergence of F_ε to F and from equation (34).

□

We can now state the following result, whose proof follows a classic procedure, that can be find, for example, in [**A**]:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\lambda_\varepsilon^j, u_\varepsilon^j)$ and (λ^j, u^j) be the eigenpairs of problems (8) and (26), respectively. Then*

1) $\lambda_\varepsilon^j \to \lambda^j$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$;

2) if λ^j has multiplicity m_j and $\lambda^j = \lambda^{j+1} = \cdots = \lambda^{j+m_j-1}$, and we set

$$S_\varepsilon^j = span\left[T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^j, \ldots, T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^{j+m_j-1}\right], \quad S^j = span\left[u^j, \ldots, u^{j+m_j-1}\right], \tag{35}$$

then

$$S_\varepsilon^j \xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to 0]{M} S^j$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.1 shows that any eigenvalue of the homogenized problem (26) is the limit, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, of the corresponding eigenvalue of the problem (8), in the perforated domain, and the same is for any eigenspace, in the sense of Mosco. Our last result gives the rate of this convergence. In order to obtain it, we will use many technical tools, that we formulate in the sequel.

Lemma 9. *Let H be a Hilbert separable space and $A : H \to H$ a linear compact self-adjoint operator. Suppose that there exist two real numbers μ, α and a vector $u \in H$, with $\|u\|_H = 1$ and*

$$\|Au - \mu u\|_H < \alpha.$$

Then there is an eigenvalue μ_j of the operator A, such that

i) $|\mu_j - \mu| < \alpha$;

ii) for any $d > \alpha$ there exists a vector $\tilde{u}$ in the eigenspace associated to eigenvalues $\mu_k \in [\mu_j - d, \mu_j + d]$, with $\|\tilde{u}\|_H = 1$, such that

$$\|u - \tilde{u}\|_H < \frac{2\alpha}{d}.$$

This lemma is often known in the literature as Višík lemma; for the proof see for example [**O-Y-S**].

We will use the following trace type inequality, whose proof follows from the classical Poincaré-Wirtinger and trace inequalities, see [**B**, **B11**]:

Lemma 10. *For any $u \in H_\varepsilon$ one has*

$$\int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} |u|^2 \leqslant c\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^2\right). \tag{36}$$

Using this lemma 10 we can easily prove the following

Property 4.2. *For any $u, v \in H_\varepsilon$, define the norm*

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} |u|^2, \tag{37}$$

coming from the scalar product

$$a_\varepsilon(u, v) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u \nabla v + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} uv. \tag{38}$$

Hence there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant \|u\|_\varepsilon \leqslant c\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon}. \tag{39}$$

We finally state the last preliminary tool; see for example [**C-P-S**] for the proof,

Lemma 11. *Let $\chi \in L^\infty_{per}(Y)$ be such that*

$$\int_Y \chi(y) dy = 0.$$

There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for any $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\left| \int_\Omega \chi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) uv dx \right| \leqslant c\varepsilon \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}. \tag{40}$$

Now we can state the result on the rate of convergence of eigenvalues and correspondent eigenfunctions (considered with their multiplicity).

Theorem 4.2. *Let λ^j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be an eigenvalue of problem (26) of multiplicity m_j:*

$$\lambda^{j-1} < \lambda^j = \lambda^{j+1} = \cdots = \lambda^{j+m_j-1} < \lambda^{j+m_j}.$$

Let $(\lambda_\varepsilon^j, u_\varepsilon^j)_j$ be the eigenpairs of problem (8) on the perforated domain. Then there exist orthogonal matrices $M_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}^{m_j \times m_j}$ and constants ε_j, C_j such that, for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_j$,

$$\|U_\varepsilon^{j+l-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} M_\varepsilon^{lk} u_\varepsilon^{j+k-1}\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant C_j \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad l = 1, \ldots, m_j, \tag{41}$$

$$\|u^{j+l-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} M_\varepsilon^{lk} T_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^{j+k-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant C_j \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad l = 1, \ldots, m_j, \tag{42}$$

with

$$U_\varepsilon^j(x) = u^j(x) + \varepsilon \chi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^j(x), \tag{43}$$

here χ is a solution of the cell problem (28).

Remark 6. Observe that the function $\sum_{k=1}^{m_j} M_\varepsilon^{lk} u_\varepsilon^{j+k-1}$ in (42) belongs to the set S_ε^j, defined in (35); this means, being $u^{j+l-1} \in S^j$, that S_ε^j converges to S^j in the sense of Mosco, moreover, the rate of this convergence is $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. The proof, that follows from lemma 9, will be obtained through these three steps:

Step 1. We prove the following fundamental estimate, that involves the operator K_ε, defined in (13)

$$\|K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant c^j \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \tag{44}$$

in the simpler hypothesis of $u^j \in C_0^\infty(A)$.

Step 2. Applying lemma 9, we prove (41) and (42), and discuss the case of λ^j of multiplicity m_j.

Step 3. We generalized the proof for $u^j \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Step 1.

Here we assume that $\partial A \in C^{2,\alpha}$, for $\alpha > 0$, so that $u^j \in C^2(\overline{A})$ and, by hypothesis $u^j \in C_0^\infty(A)$, we get $U_\varepsilon^j \in H_0^1(A)$. We have, using definition (38),

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j\|_\varepsilon &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in H_\varepsilon \\ \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon = 1}} \left| a_\varepsilon \left(K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j, \varphi \right) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in H_\varepsilon \\ \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon = 1}} \left| a_\varepsilon \left(K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j, \varphi \right) - a_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j, \varphi \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, being $K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j$ the solution of problem (11), with $f = U_\varepsilon^j$, we have

$$a_\varepsilon \left(K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j, \varphi \right) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_\varepsilon^j \varphi, \tag{45}$$

and

$$a_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j, \varphi \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla U_\varepsilon^j \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon \setminus K} U_\varepsilon^j \varphi \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla U_\varepsilon^j \nabla \varphi, \tag{46}$$

because $u^j \in C_0^\infty(A)$.

Hence, for the first term (45), using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, in the hypothesis of $\nabla u^j \in C_0^\infty(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_\varepsilon^j \varphi &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(u^j + \varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon \nabla u^j \right) \varphi = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap A} u^j \varphi + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_\varepsilon \nabla u^j \varphi \\ &\leqslant \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap A} u^j \varphi + \varepsilon C \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \\ &= |Y| \int_A u^j T_\varepsilon \varphi + \int_A \left(\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} - |Y| \right) u^j T_\varepsilon \varphi + \varepsilon C \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, using lemma 11, the continuity of T_ε and equation (39), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_\varepsilon^j \varphi &\leq |Y| \int_A u^j T_\varepsilon \varphi + C\varepsilon \|u^j\|_{H_0^1(A)} \|T_\varepsilon \varphi\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \varepsilon C \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \\ &\leqslant |Y| \int_A u^j T_\varepsilon \varphi + c_1 \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the second term (46), using same estimates of the first one, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla U_\varepsilon^j \nabla \varphi \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap A} \left(\nabla u^j + \varepsilon \nabla \chi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla u^j \right) \nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon D^2 u^j \nabla \varphi \\ &\leqslant -\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap A} \left(\nabla u^j + \nabla_y \chi(y) \nabla u^j \right) \nabla \varphi + \frac{C}{\lambda^j} \varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{H_\varepsilon} \\ &\leqslant -\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap A} \left(\nabla u^j + \nabla_y \chi(y) \nabla u^j \right) \nabla \varphi + \frac{c_2}{\lambda^j} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where $y = x/\varepsilon$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap A} \left(\nabla u^j + \nabla_y \chi(y) \nabla u^j \right) \nabla \varphi \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_A a^{\hom} \nabla u^j \nabla T_\varepsilon \varphi - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_A \left[\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\nabla u^j + \nabla_y \chi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u^j \right) - a^{\hom} \nabla u^j \right] \nabla T_\varepsilon \varphi,\end{aligned}$$

now, applying lemma 11 on the periodic function
$h(x/\varepsilon) = \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(1 + \nabla_y \chi_\varepsilon - a^{\hom}\right)$, the continuity of the extension operator and equation (39), one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\left| \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap A} \left[\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\nabla u^j + \nabla_y \chi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u^j \right) - a^{\hom} \nabla u^j \right] \nabla T_\varepsilon \varphi \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda^j} \varepsilon \|u^j\|_{H_0^1(A)} \|T_\varepsilon \varphi\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leqslant \frac{c_3}{\lambda^j} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$-\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla U_\varepsilon^j \nabla \varphi \leq -\frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_A a^{\hom} \nabla u^j \nabla T_\varepsilon \varphi + \frac{c_2}{\lambda^j} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + \frac{c_3}{\lambda^j} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, putting together all these estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j\|_\varepsilon \leqslant |Y| \int_A u^j T_\varepsilon \varphi - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_A a^{\hom} \nabla u^j \nabla T_\varepsilon \varphi \\ + \varepsilon^{1/2} c_1 \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{c_2}{\lambda^j} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{c_3}{\lambda^j} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Note that, being u^j the solution of the homogenized problem (26), one has

$$|Y| \int_A u^j T_\varepsilon \varphi - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \int_A a^{\hom} \nabla u^j \nabla T_\varepsilon \varphi = 0,$$

and, using equation (39), we finally get

$$\|K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant \|K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j\|_\varepsilon \leqslant c^j \sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

Step 2.

To apply lemma 9, we need to use a normalized function: $\|U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} = 1$. In our hypothesis we have u^j, $\nabla u^j \in C_0^\infty(A)$ and $\chi_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, so that

$$\|U_\varepsilon^j - u^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant C\varepsilon \tag{47}$$

and, being $u^j \neq 0$, we must have $\|U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} \geqslant \alpha > 0$. So we can use the normalized function, naming it again U_ε^j:

$$U_\varepsilon^j = \frac{U_\varepsilon^j}{\|U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H_\varepsilon}},$$

getting

$$\|K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant c^j \sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\|U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H_\varepsilon}} \leqslant \frac{c^j}{\alpha} \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Now we can apply lemma 9 to the linear continuous compact and self-adjoint operator K_ε, with $\mu = (\lambda^j)^{-1}$, $\alpha = c^j/\alpha\sqrt{\varepsilon}$: then there exists $(\lambda_\varepsilon^j)^{-1}$, eigenvalue of K_ε, such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon^j} - \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \right| \leqslant \frac{c^j}{\alpha} \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

moreover, for any $d > 0$, there exists a normalized function $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ in the eigenspace associated to eigenvalues in the interval $[\lambda_\varepsilon^j - d, \lambda_\varepsilon^j + d]$, such that

$$\|U_\varepsilon^j - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant 2 \frac{c^j \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\alpha d},$$

that is equation (41) in an implicit form. In order to understand better the convergence of eigenfunctions in the case of multiple eigenvalues, suppose to have λ^j of multiplicity m_j, as in our hypothesis:

$$\lambda^{j-1} < \lambda^j = \lambda^{j+1} = \cdots = \lambda^{j+m_j-1} < \lambda^{j+m_j},$$

and set

$$d_j = \min\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{j-1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^j}, \frac{1}{\lambda^j} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{j+m_j}} \right)$$

$$\Lambda^j = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^j} - d_j, \frac{1}{\lambda^j} + d_j \right),$$

then $1/\lambda_\varepsilon^i \in \Lambda^j$ if and only if $j \leqslant i \leqslant j + m_j - 1$. For any of these λ^i we construct the function $U_\varepsilon^{j+i}(x) = u^{j+i}(x) + \varepsilon\chi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^{j+i}(x)$ and, repeating *step 1*, we get

$$\|K_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^{j+i} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{j+i}} U_\varepsilon^{j+i}\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant \frac{c^{j+i}}{\alpha^{j+i}} \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad j \leqslant i \leqslant j + m_j - 1.$$

Hence, by lemma 9, there exists an eigenfunction in the eigenspace associated to eigenvalues in the interval Λ^j, i.e. there exist a matrix $M_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}^{m_j \times m_j}$ and an eigenfunction u_ε^{j+i} associated to $\lambda_\varepsilon^{j+i}$, with $1/\lambda_\varepsilon^{j+i} \in \Lambda^j$, such that

$$\|U_\varepsilon^{j+i} - \sum_{l=0}^{m_j-1} M_\varepsilon^{il} u_\varepsilon^{j+l}\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant 2\frac{c^{j+i}}{\alpha^{j+i} d_j}\sqrt{\varepsilon} = C^{j+i}\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad j \leqslant i \leqslant j + m_j - 1,$$

that is equation (41); in order to derive equation (42) we simply note that, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, being $\chi \in H_\varepsilon$ and $u^j \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(A)$,

$$\|U_\varepsilon^j - u^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \|\chi_\varepsilon \nabla u^j\|_{H_\varepsilon} \leqslant C\varepsilon.$$

Step 3.

We want to generalized to the case $u^j \in H_0^1(A)$; this means that ∇u^j could not be zero in ∂A, making U_ε^j not in $H_0^1(A)$ and inequality (47) holds true just in the $L^2(\Omega)$ norm. Consider ψ_ε a family of smooth functions in $C_0^\infty(A)$ such that $0 \leqslant \psi_\varepsilon \leqslant 1$

$$\psi_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A,\ d(x, \partial A) > 2\varepsilon \\ 0 & x \in \Omega \setminus A, \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

and $\|\nabla \psi_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leqslant 2/\varepsilon$. Then take, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^j = u^j + \varepsilon \psi_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon \nabla u^j,$$

so that $\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^j \in H_0^1(A)$.

The following estimates hold true

$$\|\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^j - U_\varepsilon^j\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant C\varepsilon^{3/2},$$

$$\|\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^j - U_\varepsilon^j\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leqslant C\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

Hence, repeating the proof of *Step 1*, using $\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^j$ instead of U_ε^j, and these last estimates, we get the thesis in the general case. □

References

[1] E. Acerbi, V. Chiadò Piat, G. Dal Maso, and D. Percivale. "*An extension theorem from connected sets, and homogenization in general periodic domains*". Nonlinear Anal. 18.5, pp. 481–496 (1992)

[2] H. Attouch. "*Variational convergence for functions and operators*". Applicable Mathematics Series. Pitman (1984)

[3] N. Bakhvalov and G. Panasenko. "*Homogenisation: averaging processes in periodic media*". Mathematics and its Applications. Vol. 36. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group (1989)

[4] A. G. Belyaev, A. L. Pyatnitski and G. A. Chechkin. "*Asymptotic behavior of the solution of a boundary value problem in a punctured domain with an oscillating boundary*". Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 39.4 (1998)

[5] A. Bensoussan, J. L. Lions and G. Papanicolaou. "*Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures*". Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. North-Holland Publishing Co. (1978)

[6] A. Braides. "Γ-*convergence for beginners*". Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Vol. 22. Oxford University Press (2002)

[7] A. Braides, A. and A. Defranceschi. "*Homogenization of multiple integrals*". Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Vol. 12. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press (1998)

[8] H. Brezis. "*Analyse fonctionnelle*". Masson (1983)

[9] H. Brezis. "*Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*". Springer (2011)

[10] G. A. Chechkin and A. L. Piatnitski. " *Homogenization of boundary-value problem in a locally periodic perforated domain*". Appl. Anal. 71.1-4. pp. 215–235 (1999)

[11] G. A. Chechkin, A. L. Piatnitski and A. S. Shamaev. "*Homogenization. Methods and Applications*". Translations of Mathematical Monographs. Vol. 234. American Mathematical Society (2007)

[12] V. Chiadò Piat, S. Nazarov and A. L. Piatnitski. "*Steklov problems in perforated domains with a coefficient of indefinite sign*". Netw. Heterog. Media. 7.1 pp. 151–178 (2012)

[13] V. Chiadò Piat, I. Pankratova and A. L. Piatnitski. "*Localization effect for a spectral problem in a perforated domain with Fourier boundary conditions*". SIAM J. Math. Anal. 45.3 pp. 1302–1327 (2013)

[14] D. Cioranescu and P. Donato. "*An introduction to homogenization*". Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Vol. 17. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press (1999)

[15] D. Cioranescu and P. Donato. "*Homogénéisation du problème de Neumann non homogéne dans des ouverts perforés*". Asymptotic Anal. 1.2 pp. 115–138 (1988)

[16] D. Cioranescu and P. Donato. "*On a Robin problem in perforated domains*". Homogenization and applications to material sciences. GAKUTO Internat. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl. Vol. 9 pp. 123–135 (1995)

[17] D. Cioranescu and J. Saint Jean Paulin. "*Homogenization of reticulated structures*". Applied Mathematical Sciences. Vol. 136. Springer-Verlag (1999)

[18] D. Cioranescu and J. Saint Jean Paulin. "*Truss structures: Fourier conditions and eigenvalue problem*". Boundary control and boundary variation. Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci. Vol. 178. Springer (1992)

[19] G. Dal Maso. "*An introduction to* Γ*-convergence*". Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 8. Birkhäuser Boston (1993)

[20] A. Henrot. "*Extremum problems for eigenvalues of elliptic operators*". Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag (2006)

[21] V. V. Jikov, S. M. Kozlov and O. A. Oleinik. "*Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals*". Springer-Verlag (1994)

[22] E. Y. Khruslov and V. A. Marchenko. "*Homogenization of partial differential equations*". Progress in Mathematical Physics. Vol. 46. Birkhäuser Boston (2006)

[23] U. Mosco. "*Convergence of convex sets and of solutions of variational inequalities*". Advances in Math. Vol. 3 pp. 510–585 (1969)

[24] O. A. Oleinik, A. S. Shamaev and G. A. Yosifian. "*Mathematical problems in elasticity and homogenization*". Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. Vol. 26. North-Holland Publishing Co. (1992)

[25] S. E. Pastukhova. "*Homogenization of problems in the theory of elasticity on periodic composite structures*". Mat. Sb. 196.7 pp. 101–142 (2005)

[26] S. E. Pastukhova. "*Spectral asymptotics for a stationary heat conduction problem in a perforated domain*". Mat. Zametki 69.4 pp. 600–612 (2001)

[27] E. Sánchez-Palencia. "*Nonhomogeneous media and vibration theory*". Lecture Notes in Physics. Vol. 127. Springer-Verlag (1980)

[28] M. Vanninathan. "*Homogenization of eigenvalue problems in perforated domains*". Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 90.3 pp. 239–271 (1981)

[29] D. B. Volkov. "*Averaging of some boundary value problems in domains with a periodic structure*". Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz. 22.1 pp. 112–122, 253 (1982)